

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,213	01/17/2006	Jonathan Lightner	7896-71292-08	5168
74951 7590 11/28/2908 Klarquist Sparkman, LLP 121 SW Salmon St., Floor 16			EXAMINER	
			MCELWAIN, ELIZABETH F	
Portland, OR 9	7/204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/539 213 LIGHTNER ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Elizabeth F. McElwain 1638 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 July 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 and 12-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 and 13-20 is/are rejected.

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) | Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) | Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) | Information Disclosure Citedement(s) (PTO-956/08)

4) | Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)Mail Date

Paper No(s)Mail Date

1.5 Padement Trademan Office

Paper No(s)Mail Date

20081121

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-96)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20081121

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 10/539,213 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

#### DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed July 25, 2008 has been entered.

Claims 1 and 6 are currently amended.

Claims 13-20 are newly submitted.

Claims 9-11 are cancelled.

#### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claim 12 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons; claim 12 is drawn to a polypeptide. The polypeptides and polynucleotides are patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Polypeptides, which are composed of amino acids, and polynucleotides, which are composed of purine and pyrimidine units, are structurally distinct molecules; any relationship between a polynucleotide and polypeptide is dependent upon the information provided by the nucleic acid sequence open reading frame as it corresponds to the primary amino acid sequence of the encoded polypeptide. Furthermore, the search of the polypeptides and the polynucleotides are not coextensive and they have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. In cases such as this one where descriptive sequence information is provided, the sequences are searched in appropriate databases. There is search burden also in the non-patent literature. Prior to the concomitant isolation and expression of the sequence of interest there may be journal articles devoted solely to polypeptides which would not have described the polynucleotide. Similarly, there may have been "classical" genetics papers which had no knowledge of the polypeptide but spoke to the gene. Searching, therefore is not coextensive. In addition, the polypeptide claim includes polypeptides having 95% identity to the Application/Control Number: 10/539,213 Page 3

Art Unit: 1638

sequence identified. This search requires an extensive analysis of the art retrieved in a sequence search and will require an in-depth analysis of technical literature.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 12 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejections of the claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, are withdrawn in view of the amendment of the claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-8 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a transgenic plants comprising a plant transformation vector comprising a sequence that encodes the HIO30 polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 or an amino acid sequence 95% identical thereto, wherein the transgenic plant has a high oil phenotype, does not reasonably provide enablement for a transgenic plant comprising a plant transformation vector comprising any sequence that is complementary to a sequence that encodes the HIO30 polypeptide that is at least 95% identical to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, and wherein the transgenic plant has a high oil phenotype. Note that the phrase "complementary to a sequence" is interpreted to read on any

Art Unit: 1638

sequence that is complementary to any portion of a sequence that would encode SEQ ID NO: 2 or a sequence 95% identical thereto. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The specification only discloses the production of a high oil phenotype by transforming a plant with a nucleic acid sequence that encodes SEQ ID NO: 2 for overexpression of said protein. The specification does not disclose any plants transformed with complementary sequences that have a high oil phenotype.

Thus, given the unpredictability of producing a high oil phenotype in a transgenic plant that is transformed with a complementary sequence to a sequence that encodes an amino acid sequence having at least 95% identity to SEQ ID NO: 2; the lack of guidance in the specification for which particular complementary sequences that will produce a high oil phenotype in a plant; and given the lack of working examples of any sequences other than SEQ ID NO: 2 in sense orientation that will produce a high oil phenotype in a plant; it would require undue experimentation by one skilled in the art to make and use the invention as claimed. The amendment of the claims to delete "or is complementary to a sequence that encodes" would over come the rejection.

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,213 Page 5

Art Unit: 1638

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth F. McElwain whose telephone number is (571) 272-0802. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flex time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571) 272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EFM

/Elizabeth F. McElwain/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638